#### **Maryland Historical Trust**

laryland Inventory of Historic Properties number: BA-Z680
ame: BONI/PLEASANT GROVE RD. OVER MCGIUR
he bridge referenced herein was inventoried by the Maryland State Highway Administration as part of the istoric Bridge Inventory, and SHA provided the Trust with eligibility determinations in February 2001. he Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 2001. The bridge received the following stermination of eligibility.
MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST  igibility Recommended Eligibility Not Recommended X
riteria: A B C D Considerations: A B C D E F G None comments:
eviewer, OPS:_Anne E. Bruder Date: 3 April 2001

Date:\_\_3 April 2001

Reviewer, NR Program: Peter E. Kurtze

# MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

MHT No. <u>BA-2680</u>

SHA Bridge No. B 0171 Bridge name Pleasant Grove Road over McGill Run
LOCATION: Street/Road name and number [facility carried] Pleasant Grove Road
City/town Boring 0.3 mi S of Dover Road Vicinity X
County Baltimore
This bridge projects over: Road Railway Water X Land
Ownership: State County X Municipal Other
HISTORIC STATUS:  Is bridge located within a designated historic district? Yes No _X_  National Register-listed district National Register-determined-eligible district  Locally-designated district Other
Name of district
BRIDGE TYPE: Timber Bridge: Beam Bridge: Truss -Covered Trestle Timber-And-Concrete
Stone Arch Bridge
Metal Truss Bridge _
Movable Bridge: Swing Bascule Single Leaf Bascule Multiple Leaf Vertical Lift Retractile Pontoon
Metal Girder:  Rolled Girder:  Rolled Girder Concrete Encased  Plate Girder Plate Girder Concrete Encased
Metal Suspension
Metal Arch
Metal Cantilever
Concrete X : Concrete Arch Concrete Slab X Concrete Beam Rigid Frame  Other Type Name

BA-2680

DESCRIPTION: Setting: Urban	Small town	RuralX
		n direction over McGill Run which flows with one house approximately 100 yards
wingwalls flare back along the er 8 feet long. The parapets are sol	crete slab bridge measuring the west wall lid concrete. Each span is roadway width is 29 feet a	ng 25 feet in total length. The concrete is are 10 feet long and the east walls are 11 feet wide and the total span between and the deck out to out width is 32 feet. posted for restricted load.
spalled, both original and replace aggregate. Both new portions of	d deck are sound although f the abutments, the SW watments are in fair condi-	endition. The parapets are cracked and the original (east) side has 30% exposed ringwall, and the NW wingwall are all in tion with some honeycomb scaling and er exhibits cracks and spalling.
Discuss Major Alterations: The reinforced concrete deck wingwalls were built.	as widened in 1968; as w	ere abutments and the pier. Two new
HISTORY:		. The second
WHEN was bridge built (actual This date is: Actual X Estir Source of date: Plaque Desi Other (specify)	nated ign plans County brid	
WHY was the bridge built? The need for a more efficient to following World War I.	ansportation network and	d increased load capacity in the decades
WHO was the designer? State Highway Administration		
WHO was the builder? Unknown		
WHY was the bridge altered? The bridge was reconstructed to	address structural needs	and safety.
Was this bridge built as part of As part of an effort by the State	an organized bridge-built to increase load capacity	ding campaign? on secondary roads during the 1920s.

#### **SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:**

This bridge may have Nationa	al Register significa	ance for its association with:
A - Events	B- Person	
C- Engineering/archit	ectural character _	

This bridge does not have National Register significance.

Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history? Reinforced concrete slab bridges are a twentieth century structure type, easily adapted to the need for expedient engineering solutions. Reinforced concrete technology developed rapidly in the early twentieth century with early recognition of the potential for standardized design. The first U.S. attempt to standardize concrete design specifications came in 1903-04 with the formation of the Joint Committee on Concrete and Reinforced Concrete of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Maryland's road and bridge improvement programs mirrored economic cycles. The first road improvement program of the State Roads Commission was a 7 year program, starting with the Commission's establishment in 1908 and ending in 1915. Due to World War I, the period from 1916 -1920 was one of relative inactivity; only roads of first priority were built. Truck traffic resulting from war-related factories and military installations generated new, heavy traffic unanticipated by the builders of the early road system. From 1920 to 1929, numerous highway improvements occurred in response to the increase in Maryland motor vehicles from 103,000 in 1920 to 320,000 in 1929, with emphasis on the secondary system of feeder roads which moved traffic from the primary roads built before World War I. After World War I, Maryland's bridge system also was appraised as too narrow and structurally inadequate for the increasing traffic, with plans for an expanded bridge program to be handled by the Bridge Division, set up in 1920. In 1920 under Chapter 508 of the Acts of 1920 the State issued a bond of \$3,000,000.00 for road construction; the primary purpose of these monies was to meet the state obligations involving the construction of rural post roads. The secondary purpose of these monies was to fund [with an equal sum from the counties] the building of lateral roads. The number of hard surfaced roads on the state system grew from 2000 in 1920 to 3200 in 1930. By 1930, Maryland's primary system had become inadequate to the huge freight trucks and volume of passenger cars in use, with major improvements occurring in the late 1930s. Most improvements to local roads waited until the years after World War II.

With a diverse topographical domain encompassing numerous small and large crossings, Maryland engineers quickly recognized the need for expedient design and construction.

In the early years, there was a need to replace the numerous single lane timber bridges. Walter Wilson Crosby, Chief Engineer stated in 1906, "The general plan has been to replace these [wood bridges] with pipe culverts or concrete bridges and thus forever do way with the further expense of the maintenance of expensive and dangerous wooden structures". Within a few years, readily constructed standardized bridges of concrete were being built throughout the state.

The creation of standard plans and a description of their use was first announced in the 1912-15 Reports of the State Roads Commission whereby bridges spanning up to 36 feet were to use standardized designs.

Published on a single sheet, the 1912 Standard Plans included those structures that were amenable to such an approach: slab spans, (deck) girder spans, box culverts, box bridges, abutments, and piers

BA- 2680

(State Roads Commission 1912). Slab spans, with lengths of 6 to 16 feet in two foot increments, featured a solid parapet that was integrated into the slab, with a roadway of 22 feet.

In the <u>Report</u> for the years 1916-1919, a revision of the standard plans was noted:

During the four years covered by this report, it has been found necessary to revise our standard plans for culverts and bridges, to take care of the increased tonnage which they have been forced to carry. Army cantonments...increased their operations several hundred per cent, and the brunt of the enormous truck traffic resulting therefrom, was borne by the State Roads of Maryland. In addition to these war activities, freight motor lines from Baltimore to Washington, Philadelphia, New York, and various points throughout Maryland, and the weight of many of these trucks when loaded, was in excess of the loads for which our early bridges were designed (State Roads Commission 1920:56).

Published on separate sheets, the new standard plans (State Roads Commission 1919) for slab bridges reveal that the major changes was an increase in roadway width from 22 feet to 24 feet and a redesign of the reinforcement. The slab spans continued to feature solid parapets integrated into the span. The range of span lengths remained 6 to 16 feet, but the next year (1920) witnessed the issue of a supplemental plan for a 20 foot long slab span (State Roads Commission 1920).

Based upon documentary evidence, Baltimore County and City were the early pioneers in concrete bridge building in Maryland. The first reinforced concrete bridge documented in Maryland was the bridge at Sherwood Station, built in 1903 by Baltimore County.

Evidence from historic maps suggests that almost all of the extant concrete slab bridges built before 1940 in Baltimore County replaced earlier bridges. With the exception of two bridges, all of these structures lie on roads whose alignments have changed little since the middle of the nineteenth century. The two exceptions are both located on Shelbourne Avenue in Arbutus. Shelbourne Avenue does not appear on the 1850 map of Baltimore County but does appear on the 1915 map. Both concrete slabs bridges on Shelbourne Avenue, however, were built after 1915. The evidence therefore suggests that these two bridges were also built to replace previous structures.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?

There is no evidence to suggest that the construction of this bridge had a significant impact on the growth and development of this area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation and would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district?

The bridge is not located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation.

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?

No, this bridge is an undistinguished example of its type.


Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum?

No. The bridge was reconstructed in 1968 when the slab, abutments and wingwalls were changed.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer? The bridge is not a significant example of the work a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer.

BA-2680

No additional study will be r	further study before an needed before an evalu	evaluation of its significance is made? ation of the significance of this bridge is n	ıade.
BIBLIOGRAPHY:			
County inspection/bridge fill Other (list):	es X	SHA inspection/bridge files	
SURVEYOR:			
Date bridge recorded	08/15/95		
Name of surveyor	Colin Farr		
Organization/Address P.A.C	. Spero & Company, S	ite 412, 40 West Chesapeake Ave., Baltin	more,
MD 21204			
Phone number (410) 296-16	35	FAX number (410) 296-1670	





## Inventory # BA - 2680

Name BOITI- PLEASANT GIRLOVE RO OVER MCGILL RIVI
County/State BALTIMORE COUNTY IMP
Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL
Date 1 95
Location of Negative SHA
Description South APPROACH WOKING
NORTHEAST
Number 4 of 34 4



### Inventory # BA Z680

Name BUTI-PLEPSANT GROVE RO OVER MCGILL BU	į
County/State BALTIMORE COUNTY IMD	
Name of Photographer DAVE DIENL	
Date 195	
Location of Negative SHA	
Description EAST ELEVATION WOKING	
Number 8 of 344	_



## Inventory # <u>BA-2680</u>

Name BOMI-PLEASANT GROVE RO WER MCGILL R	Sili
County/State BALTIMURE COUNTY IMP	_
Name of Photographer DAVE DIEHL	
Date 1 95	
Location of Negative SHA	
Description WEST ELEVATION LOOKING SOUTH	
Number 6 034 4	



nventory # 13/4-2680
Name BOTTI- PLEASANT GROVE RO UVER MCGILLAND County/State BALTIMURE COUNTY/MO Name of Photographer PAVE DIEHL Date 1015
Location of Negative
Description NORTH APPROACH LOOKING SOUTHEAST
Number of 34 4